



Review of Applications for the Award for Excellence in Social Science Engaged Research by Members of the Award Selection Committee

Instructions. Please review each applicant in terms of the following criteria. Comments are optional but helpful and will be made available in aggregate to other members of the Award Selection Committee. If you have nominated an applicant, you will be exempted from that particular review. Return all forms electronically to c/o ORSP@unco.edu by March 29, 2022. Thank you!

Your Name: _____ Applicant's Name: _____

Definition and description of civic engagement. Civic engagement is defined as research and scholarly activity projects and programs involving mutually beneficial, reciprocity-based collaborations of University of Northern Colorado faculty and/or students and/or staff from within or across disciplines in departments and/or colleges with external groups, organizations, governmental agencies, or individuals in applied or fundamental research programs. Civic engagement activities may involve local community (a town, community interest, service or non-profit organizations, or local government and schools) or wider, more inclusive civic entities such as regional coalitions, federal, state or regional organizations, private or federal and state agencies and organizations.

Eligibility Criteria:

1. Applicant addresses eligibility criterion of being a UNC faculty member who is a contract-renewable or tenure track lecturer, instructor or assistant professor. Faculty with M.A. and/or Ph.D. degrees. Yes/No
2. Applicant addresses eligibility criterion of working in one of these UNC departments, schools and academic programs: Anthropology; Africana Studies; Economics; Gender Studies; Geography, GIS & Sustainability; Human Service (programs in Community Health; Gerontology; Recreation, Tourism and Hospitality; and Rehabilitative Services); Mexican American Studies; Nursing; Political Science & International Affairs; Sociology; and World Languages & Cultures. Yes/No

Materials Meet Requirements:

3. Application received by the deadline. Yes/No
4. Application includes all required materials:
 - a. letter(s) of nomination (can be self-nomination) and letter(s) of support: Yes/No
 - b. curriculum vita: Yes/No
 - c. statement of accomplishments: Yes/No

Substantive Criteria

1. Nominator offers a persuasive endorsement of the significance of the applicant's professional development and achievement in civic engagement (as defined above), including scholarly

publications and presentations, internally and externally funded grant and contract projects, support of and contributions to disciplinary or multidisciplinary collaborations in fundamental and applied research, and the integration of research and scholarly results into undergraduate and/or graduate curricula.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

2. Nominator makes a compelling case for how applicant’s research and scholarly activity projects and programs involve mutually beneficial, reciprocity-based collaborations of University of Northern Colorado faculty and/or students and/or staff from within or across disciplines in departments and/or colleges with external groups, organizations, governmental agencies, or individuals in applied or fundamental research programs.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

3. Applicant has clearly defined the particular site of collaboration as being a local community (a town, community interest, service or non-profit organizations, or local government and schools) or wider, more inclusive civic entities such as regional coalitions, federal, state or regional organizations, private or federal and state agencies and organizations.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

4. Applicant has a strong track record in engaged research.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

5. Applicant's work in civic engagement reflects high quality.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

6. Applicant has obtained professional development in engaged research and scholarly activity.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

7. Applicant has published and presented on topics related to civic engagement in reputable outlets.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

8. Applicant demonstrates excellence in research and scholarly activity related to civic engagement projects and programs.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

9. Applicant has obtained internally or externally funded grant and contract projects related to civic engagement.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

10. Applicant has articulated a contribution to disciplinary or multidisciplinary topics in fundamental or applied research.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

11. Applicant has integrated research and scholarly results on civic engagement into undergrad and/or graduate curricula.

Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Undecided	Agree	Strongly Agree
1	2	3	4	5
Comments:				

Note: Criteria were developed from a review of the endowment fund agreement, *Award for Excellence in Social Science Engaged Research*, October 2011, established by Robert Brunswig, Rebecca Brunswig, and Ken Coleman