Anatomy of an Interpretation

- Slide 1. Anatomy of an Interpretation Rhonda Jacobs, CI and CT CATIE/MARIE Webinar In collaboration with The National Task Force on Deaf-Blind Interpreting May 23rd, 2013
- Slide 2. Introductions

Slide 3. Working Process Model – Chart

Alt. Text: A simplified version of a process model with seven numbered horizontal boxes with right arrows connecting them: Receive, Analyze (Sender), Release Form, Meaning, Analyze (Receiver), Add Form, Deliver. Below are two boxes separated by double arrows: Monitor and Feedback. There are arrows haphazardly connecting these two boxes to all of the boxes above. Citation below: Sources: Ron Coffey, Western Maryland College; Betty Colonomos, Pedagogical Model of the Interpreting Process; Dennis Cokely, Interpretation: A Sociolinguistic Model.

- Slide 4. Receive
 - 1. Speaker Input

2. Visual Information: Environment (people, place, etc.); Movement/mannerisms; Identification; Directionality (who is speaking to whom); Facial Information (affect, mood, subtleties).

- Slide 5. Analyze (Sender) Salience: What are the most important aspects of what was just received?
- Slide 6. Release Form: Release the message from the form, spoken or signed, and access the image* *Colonomos, p.c. 12/28/02
- Slide 7. Meaning: What is the point here? Is it what they are saying or what they are doing or what something looks like?

Slide 8. Analyze (Receiver)

1. What does this person need to know to make this make sense? (Eg. Who is talking to whom); Is the context/visual info./topic known?)

- 2. What/where can this person see, if anything?
- 3. Receiver's background: language; personal; interests.
- Slide 9. Add Form Phonology: handshape; location; movement; orientation; 2H signs
- Slide 10. Morphology: 1. NMS (adj., adv.); 2. NMS (questions).

Syntax: 1 .Wh-q 2. Y/N-q 3.other uses of YES and #NO 4. SVO or ?5. initial YOU6. head shake – NOT7. indexing

- Slide 11. Lexical changes: more use of nouns than pronouns; signs followed by fingerspelling
- Slide 12. Deliver Mode: tactile (one- or two-handed); close vision; restricted field; voice-over; tactile fingerspelling; typing; other.
- Slide 13. Speed and pace; Signing space
- Slide 14. Monitor : Of self (internal process) Feedback: From other (aka Back-Channeling if from DB person) 1. Tapping 2. Squeezing
 - 3. nods (tactile or head)

Slide 15. Your Turn

- Slide 16. Phonology Location:
 - 1. Reduced space for restricted visual field (C&P, 1998)
 - 2. Signing space smaller in TASL (C&P, 1998)
 - 3. Directionality YES, #NO showing constructed action (also Orientation)(P&D, 2006)
 - 4. Body part moves toward point of contact for contact signs (C&P, 1998)
 - 5. Signs may be moved away from contact with the body (Collins, 1993)
- Slide 17. 1. Morphology: Frequency slower, with additional repetition showing regularity (Collins, 2004)
 2. Syntax: NMM expressed as manual signs (eg. VERY)- (Steffen, 1998; Collins, 2004); Y/N-q addition of QUESTION sign (C&P, 1998)
- Slide 18. Delivery1. Mode2. Speed and pace: Fingerspelling slower3. Signing space4. Inclusion of visual information
- Slide 19. Feedback Back-channeling: Tapping on hand (C&P, 1998); Tapping on leg; Nodding

Slide 20. References

Cokely, D. (1992). Interpretation: A Sociolinguistic Model. Burtonsville: Linstock Press.

Collins, S. (1993) Deaf-Blind interpreting: The structure of ASL and the interpreting process. In E. Winston (Coordinator), School of Communication student forum, (pp. 19-36). Washington, DC, Gallaudet University School of Communication.

Slide 21. Collins, S. (2004). Adverbial Morphemes in Tactile American Sign Language. A Project Demonstrating Excellence. A doctoral dissertation submitted to the Graduate College of Union Institute and University

Collins, S. & Petronio, K. (1998). What Happens in Tactile ASL? In C. Lucas (Ed.), Pinky Extension and Eye Gaze: Language use in Deaf Communities (pp. 17-37). Washington, DC: Gallaudet University Press.

Slide 22. Colonomos, B. (1989; rev. 1997). Pedagogical Model of the interpreting process. Unpublished work. The Bilingual Mediation Center.

Jacobs, R. (2005). A process model for deaf-blind interpreting. Journal of Interpretation (pp. 79-101). Reprinted with permission, copyright 2005, Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf, Alexandria, VA.

Jacobs, R. (2013). A process model for deaf-blind interpreting. CATIE/MARIE webinar. Feb. 21.

Slide 23. Petronio, K., Dively, V. (2006). YES, #NO, visibility, and variation in ASL and tactile ASL. Sign Language Studies, vol. 7, #1, Fall 2006, pp. 57-98.

Seleskovitch, D. (1978). Interpreting for international conferences. Washington, DC: Pen and Booth.

Steffen, C. (1998). Tactile sign language: Tangible techniques for rendering the message tangibly. PCRID Conference, Catonsville, MD, November 15.

Slide 24. Credits

DeafBlind individuals who participated in the video samples: Rene Pellerin, Jamie Pope and Randall Pope

Interpreters: Rachel Boll, Karen Rosenthal, Rhonda Jacobs and one other.

Videography: Maryland - Larry Asbell; Vermont Community Access Media

Video editing: Larry Asbell Editing

Slide 25. Continuing Education

Online Learning Community – to continue with more in-depth learning and discussion on this topic, May 27- June 21, 2013. CATIE/MARIE Centers through NCRTM listserv

NTFDBI GoogleGroup on Deaf-Blind Interpreting Education. To join, send request to: info@deafblindinterpreting.org

For announcements of training opportunities and conferences, e-mail above address to be added to mailing list.

Slide 26. Upcoming Event -NTFDBI DeafBlind Day RID Pre-conference Event August 8th, 2013 Indianapolis, IN

A day for DeafBlind people, interpreters and interpreter coordinators to come and learn together. For more information, visit www.deafblindinterpreting.org

Slide 27. Additional Resource

For more resources, visit the NTFDBI website: www.deafblindinterpreting.org
 LIKE us on Facebook: National Task Force on Deaf Blind Interpreting
 Join the NTFDBI group on LinkedIn

Slide 28. Thank you!