

Annual and Biennial Review

Faculty Information
Name:
College:
Department/School/Program:
Current Rank:
Lecturer Assistant Professor
Senior Lecturer Associate Professor
☐ Instructor ☐ Full Professor
Indicate if
☐ tenured ☐ tenure-track ☐ contract-renewable
Date of Last Annual or Biennial Review:
Date of last promotion:
Review Period: to
(year) (year)

Evaluation Scale (Round to the	Instructions and he nearest 10 th)	Example
Evaluation Level	Score	
V.	4.6-5.0	Excellent
IV.	3.6-4.5	Exceeds Expectations
III.	2.6-3.5	Meets Expectations
II.	1.6-2.5	Needs Improvement
I.	1.0-1.5	Unsatisfactory

Evaluation Instructions

- Step 1: Indicate workload distribution for instruction, professional activity and service.
- Step 2: Based on percent of workload, indicate a single score for instruction, a single score for professional activity, and a single score for service.
- Step 3: Calculate the Overall Evaluation: The average, weighted in accordance with workload, of evaluation levels in all applicable performance areas.

For example, a faculty with a workload of 0.6 instruction, 0.2 professional activity, and 0.2 service, who received a score of 4 for instruction, 3 for professional activity and a score of 1 for service would have an overall score of 3.2 (0.6x4) + (0.2x3) + (0.2x1) = 3.2 which falls in the range of III Meets Expectations.

Step 4: Based on chart above, indicate evaluation level (I, II, III, IV, V)

Example		Service			
	Instruction	Professional	Chair	Non-Chair	Overall
		Activity	Responsibilities	Responsibilities	Evaluation
Workload	0.6	0.2		0.2	
Distribution %					
Score	4.0	3.0		1.0	\nearrow
Weighted Score	2.4	0.6		0.2	3.2
Evaluation Level					III
(I, II, III, IV, V)					2.2.001/2

Please consult BPM: 2-3-801(4) http://www.unco.edu/trustees/policy_manual.pdf and University Regs: 3-3-801(2) http://www.unco.edu/trustees/University_Regulations.pdf

Part I: Evaluation by Faculty

If evaluating a Chair/Program Coordinator, evaluate both Chair and Non-Chair service:

			Service		
	Instruction	Professional	Chair	Non-Chair	Overall
*** 11 1		Activity	Responsibilities	Responsibilities	Evaluation
Workload Distribution %					
Score					$\qquad \qquad =$
Weighted Score					
Evaluation Level					
(I, II, III, IV, V)		;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	h a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a a		11
Attach a memo explai	-			i area criteria as we	as progress
oward tenure and/or p		•		Datas	
Signature (on behalf of	or the faculty):			Date:	
Evaluates notified of	decision by				
Evaluatee notified of a Email (Date):	decision by:	Co	mnus Mail (Data):		
Eman (Date).		Ca	mpus Mail (Date): _		
If evaluatee is Chair,	Director or Pr	ogram Coordin	ator after completin	a Part I send form	and materials
to Dean.)	Director, or 11	ogram coordin	ator, arter completing	g i art i, sena ioini	and materials
o Beam.)					
Part II: Evaluation k	ov Chair, Dire	ctor, or Progra	am Coordinator		
	Instruc			Service	Overall
			ctivity		Evaluation
Workload Distributi	on				
%					
Score					
Weighted Score					
Evaluation Level					
(I, II, III, IV, V)					
Attach a memo explai	ning the reasor	ns, in terms of the	he approved progran	n area criteria as we	ll as progress
oward tenure and/or p	promotion if ap	plicable, for the	e scores.		
Signature:		Da	te:		
Evaluatee and faculty	notified of dec	•			
Email (Date):		Ca	mpus Mail (Date): _		
	~	~			
Part III: Reconciled			•		on.
(F			epartment/School/Pro	Service	011
	Instruc		fessional	Service	Overall Evaluation
Workload Distributi	on	A	ctivity		Evaluation
%	OII				\rightarrow
Score					
Weighted Score Evaluation Level					
(I, II, III, IV, V)		< $ $ $>$	\times		
Faculty Evaluation Committee Signature: Date:					
•				Date:	
Director/Chair/Coordinator Signature:				Date	

Part IV: Dean Revie Signature:		Da	te:		
Part V: Dean Evalu	ation of Chair				7
			Ser		
	Instruction	Professional	Chair	Non-Chair	Overall
		Activity	Responsibilities	Responsibilities	Evaluation
Workload					
Distribution %					
Score					
Weighted Score					
Evaluation Level		$\langle \ \ \ $			
(I, II, III, IV, V)					
Signature:		Da	te:		
Part VI: Dean Evalu	uation for App	eal	Ser]	
	Instruction	Professional	Chair	Non-Chair	Overall
		Activity	Responsibilities	Responsibilities	Evaluation
Workload Distribution %				-	
Score					
Weighted Score					
Evaluation Level					
(I, II, III, IV, V)					
Attach a memo expla	ining the reason	ns in terms of the	he approved program	n area criteria for th	le scores
Signature:	-		te:		
Part VII: CAO Rev	iew for Appeal	l.			1
	Instruction D. C. 1		Service		O 11
	Instruction	Professional Activity	Chair Responsibilities	Non-Chair Responsibilities	Overall Evaluation
Workload Distribution %		Activity	Responsibilities	Responsibilities	Evaluation
Score					
Weighted Score					
Evaluation Level					
(I, II, III, IV, V)					
Attach a memo expla	iming the reason	ns, in terms of the	ne approved progran	n area criteria, for th	ie scores.
Signature:		Da	te:		