## **FACULTY WELFARE COMMITTEE**

UC Aspen A & B April 27, 2022 – 3:30p.m.-5:00p.m. MINUTES

Present: Blatt, Brown, Doerner, Endres (Muller), Matchett, Schaberl, Senbet, Sileo, Wiegand

**Absent:** Applegate, Fulks, Williams

Guest: Levin, Satriana

#### Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 3:36pm.

## Approval of the Agenda

Approved without objection.

## Approval of the April 13, 2022 meeting minutes

Approved without objection.

## Chair's Report/Announcements

### **Special Orders**

#### • Officer elections

- o Doerner and Endres are nominees for Chair.
  - Doerner was approved by poll vote as Chair.
- o Muller nominated Endres for Vice-Chair.
  - Endres was approved by acclamation as Vice-Chair.

#### **Unfinished Business**

# • Tenure-track proposal

- Senbet outlined four main items of consideration relating to the tenure-track proposal:
  - Establish under what conditions/situations tenure-track faculty may face non-renewal.
    - Performance-related factors and/or what other reasons may result in non-renewal.
  - Explore the option of multi-year contracts.
    - CSU and CU both have multi-vear contracts.
  - Establish a timeline for administrative action.
    - The proposal adds a 20 working days clause to prevent inaction on comprehensive reviews at administrative levels.
  - Examine the rationale of tenure.
    - Academic freedom is one reason for tenure; job security in a highly specialized field is another reason.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

- Faculty and administration have expressed differing views about the relationship/interaction between the tenure-track contract policy and the comprehensive review policy as they are currently written.
  - We want to amend the policies in a way that provides clarity and is agreeable to all parties.
- Members discussed circumstances that could result in non-renewal of a tenure-track faculty member.
  - Clearly, tenure-track faculty may non-renewed for performance reasons.
  - The administration's position is that tenure-track faculty can be non-renewed for any reason, as long as it is not discriminatory.
  - Members deliberated about what limits/criteria could be put in place to establish an objective basis for non-renewal decisions.
    - Non-performance-related factors that may result in non-renewal: Enrollment decline, program closure/restructuring, and financial exigency.
- Members discussed the feasibility of whether years of service could factor into decisions to renew/not renew.
  - Example: Make non-renewal in early years of tenure-track status for non-performance reasons allowable, but after pre-tenure review the decision to non-renew must be based solely on performance.
    - This would create an environment where, after year three, a tenuretrack faculty member with good performance would be guaranteed a chance to apply for tenure.
  - However, if program enrollment trends continue downward after *x*-number of years, we don't want to corner the university into keeping faculty who don't have a viable program.
  - In the interest of protecting the health of the university/college/program overall, it may be more beneficial to non-renew a tenure-track faculty member, regardless of their years of service.
    - This would free up resources to invest in other areas as needed.
  - Even with improved use of data analytics, there is no guarantee of the accuracy of enrollment predictions.
  - If the ability to non-renew is narrowed as the employee gets closer to the tenure application period, this may prompt administrators acting in bad faith to non-renew the position before *x*-point because they know they won't have the flexibility to non-renew in a later year.
- o Members are in general agreement that *all* factors impacting employment decisions should be clearly and fully disclosed to tenure-track faculty members.
- o The committee will continue deliberation next academic year.

- Sanctions short of dismissal
- Dismissal proceedings of tenured faculty

## **New Business**

## **Other New Business**

## **Comments to the Good of the Order**

- Thanks to Senbet for chairing the committee this year.
- Senbet thanked Levin for setting an excellent precedent of attending committee meetings as Senate Chair.

# Adjournment

The meeting was adjourned at 5:06pm.

Dawit Senbet Betsy Kienitz
Chair Recording Secretary