UC Aspen A \& B

Present: Barkley, Greene, Kyle
Present via Zoom: Athanasiou, Schaberl, Vaughan
Absent: Clinefelter, Cobb, Parks, Weigand, Zukiewicz
Guest: Senbet

## Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 3:04pm.

Approval of the Agenda
Approved without objection.

Approval of the February 6, 2023 Minutes
Approved without objection.

## Chair's Announcements

- Parity process language going to Senate next week (see attached) - This item will go to Faculty Senate Monday February $27^{\text {th }} 2023$.

Unfinished Business

- Administrative/educational salary distribution
- Shift date for Equal Pay Act adjustments
- Adding a distinguished/super professor category?
- Multi-year compensation plan
- Minimum wages/salaries
- Update compensation investment rationale - consistent messaging for UNC administrators Marshall was working on this with Office of Institutional Research and Effectiveness (OIRE).
DISCUSSION:
- The committee reviewed the Faculty Compensation Data spreadsheet and realized there may be errors, i.e., listing the same position twice.
- Committee to consider capping the parity increase; noting a $10 \%$ cap on parity increases would put us in the black but that a $\$ 10,000$ cap will benefit the lowest paid individuals and may go further.
- Tenure-track status is incorrect in some places on data spreadsheet.
- The committee wants to target raises for those who make the least and are the lowest percentage of parity.
- Outliers and anomalies in faculty compensation data spreadsheet should be looked at more closely.
- If this committee gets ahold of another faculty salary compensation list, especially if it contains names, committee members will need to keep document private.
- Barkley will contact HR to request a new data set.
- Barkley will then reach out to Parks about cleaning up the new data set.
- At Salary Equity Committee's first meeting after Spring Break 2023, the current data
should be available for decision-making and to determine a raise percentage.
- These parity scenarios are confidential, members should not share at this point.
- Salary Equity Committee makes recommendations to Administration, which are taken into consideration when Administration makes the final decision.


## New Business

- How to divide salary pool between flat rate raises and parity
- Chair Kyle asked the committee:
- Do we want to keep those flat rate raises the same, maybe adjusting down the associate professor if they were at a higher percentage of parity this year?
- Do we want to max the amount of parity at $10 \%$ or $\$ 10,000$ ?
- Some members would like to focus on giving flat amount raises (i.e., max of $\$ 10,000$ ) to the lowest paid positions, and with some faculty retiring early, this may be possible without going into the red.
- The floor currently sits around $70 \%$ of parity.
- We may need to consider reducing flat rate amounts if we only have a $3 \%$ pool for raises.
- Without accurate, updated data, the committee is not ready to decide yet.
- The committee members agree that capping parity using a dollar amount vs. a percentage is the best option.

Comments to the Good of the Order
None
Adjournment
The meeting was adjourned at $3: 50 \mathrm{pm}$.

