

The Meaning of Texts

Reprinted from Witter-Merithew, A., Taylor, M., and Johnson, L., "Guided Self-Assessment and Professional Development Planning: A Model Applied to Interpreters in Educational Settings". Appendix A, pp. 177-196 in *Proceedings of the 17th National Conference of the Registry of Interpreters for the Deaf: Tapestry of Our Worlds*, Clay Nettles (Ed.) (2002). RID Publications: Alexandria, VA.

Understanding the Meaning of Texts and Reinforcing Foundation Skills Through Discourse Analysis

Anna Witter-Merithew, Assistant Director
Distance Opportunities for Interpreter Training Center

Introduction

Inherent to the interpreting process is the goal of determining the meaning of a source language message and the equivalency of that message in a target language. Achieving this goal requires the interpreter possess bilingual and bicultural competence and specific cognitive processing skills required to analyze all of the constituent parts of both the source and target languages (Cokely, 1992). The focus of this article is to define a system of discourse analysis that has been used in the Educational Interpreter Certificate (EICP) Program since 1996 as part of the interpreting skills coursework. It engages interpreting students in an investigation of the constituent parts of messages for the purpose of identifying meaning in preparation for the task of interpretation. The system of discourse analysis described in this article is based on elements of a model defined by Winston and Monikowski (2000), as well as discussions of text analysis and the interpreting process offered by Colonomos (1992), Isham (1985) and Witter-Merithew (1986, 1997). Additionally, the system of discourse analysis discussed in this article will be related to the cognitive stages associated with interpreting, as described by Cokely (1992), in an effort to demonstrate how the system of discourse analysis can be used to isolate and reinforce foundation skills related to the process of interpreting.

It should also be noted that the EICP staff and faculty (1996-present) have contributed to the application and evolution of this system in various classroom settings. As well, the EICP students have provided valuable insight into the value of the system in their acquisition of interpreting skills. Although the number of these individuals precludes their individual recognition in this context, their contributions to this discussion have been invaluable, are greatly appreciated, and hereby acknowledged.

Defining Discourse Analysis

In order to discuss discourse analysis, it is necessary to begin with a definition of discourse and discourse analysis. Defined simply, discourse is the way we talk about what we choose to talk about. According to Hatch (1992), it is the study of how communication is structured so that it is socially appropriate as well as grammatically accurate and meaningful. Our use of discourse is an expression of

our social and cultural identity because we acquire our use of it through the environments in which we are socialized—home, school, work, and community. We learn to communicate using specific forms and content/topics based on the social norms of the environments in which we live and communicate. Accordingly, discourse is bound to the social contexts in which it is used. Therefore, meaning is also bound to social contexts.

Discourse analysis is the act of distinguishing and considering the component parts of a message in order to understand the whole of the message (Witter-Merithew, 1987). It is a systematic process of looking at the different parts of what we say and how we say it to discover some of the influences and beliefs that frame our perspectives and influence the meaning of our messages. For interpreters, it is analysis that enables accurate content (themes, topics, and events), appropriate context (setting, participants, purpose/goals), and appropriate linguistic form (discourse structure, coherence, transitions, vocabulary, etc.) to be conveyed (Winston & Monikowski, 2000).

The discourse analysis process promotes deeper bilingual and bicultural competence in interpreters. This is essential to effective interpretation because language and culture are so intertwined that it is not possible to understand accurately a person's semantic intent until/unless the interpreter also understands the cultural background and foreground against which that message is conveyed (Cokely, 1991). Achieving semantic intent realization requires attention to a variety of factors that influence meaning. Discourse analysis provides a systematic way of examining such factors, and isolating the component parts of a message in an effort to achieve semantic equivalence (Witter-Merithew, 1987, Winston & Monikowski, 2000).

The Influence of Context on Discourse and Meaning

There are a variety of factors that influence meaning and that can be explored as a part of discourse analysis. A general explanation of each factor provides insight into the complexities of the interpreting task and defines some of the elements of the discourse analysis process.

Discourse is bound to the social contexts in which it is used (Hatch, 1992). Context is the information that surrounds the message and comprehending the full intent of a message can only occur by considering the context in which the message was framed and expressed. Context is comprised of the participants, setting and purpose.

Participants

When considering participants, it is important to consider the individual participants and the relationship of participants (Isham, 1985). Individual participants are characterized by a variety of temporary and stable states and attitudes—physical states, psychological states, emotional states, individual belief systems and values. All these characteristics contribute to the way an individual communicates their ideas, how they perceive events and experiences, and how they interpret meaning when interacting with others. These characteristics reflect information about the

individual and their identity as a member of a societal group (Cokely, 1992). Additionally, the relationships that exist between participants—both those that are perceived and those that are real—influence the context. These relationships have impact on the assumptions held by the participants, the level of comfort and openness that will be shared between participants, and the manner in which messages will be constructed between participants (Isham, 1985).

By way of example, consider how your state of mind is impacted when you enter a communication exchange with someone right after having an argument with a family member or friend. This temporary state will have impact on your attention and level of comfort. Likewise, the use of certain medications prior to an interaction could impact on your concentration and level of participation in a communication exchange. This too would be a temporary state. Permanent states, such as Alzheimer's or mental illness, would also impact on the communication exchange. As well, perceptions about status differentials, power imbalances, level of familiarity and trust would also impact on how individuals communicate with one another.

There are additional factors that influence the individual and impact on how an individual expresses, receives, and perceives messages. These factors are general knowledge, episodic expectations, associated relations, cultural awareness, and world-view.

General knowledge refers to a reflection of the breadth and depth of one's knowledge of people and events in one's own world and the world at large (both past and present.)

Typically, general knowledge is a reflection of those areas about which one is willing to offer an opinion and about which one is willing to listen to and solicit others' opinions (Cokely, 1992).

Episodic expectations refer to a person's conscious and intuitive sense of what will or what should happen in a given situation or setting (Cokely, 1992). Some authors refer to episodic expectations as scripts or schemas.

Associated relations are the assumptions individuals make about the level of shared knowledge, shared experience, shared recollection of a given experience, shared affect toward a particular person or topic that exists between themselves and those with whom they communicate (Cokely, 1992).

Cultural awareness refers to a person's conscious and intuitive understanding of the norms of expected and restricted behaviors, topics, lexical items, etc. within a given group or a given sub-group (Smith, 1998).

A person's *Weltanschauung* represents the totality of one's experiences and perspectives in life—their worldview (Pollitt, 2000). Worldview is influenced by

one's individual experiences within the broader culture/society in which they live and the degree of self-awareness they possess.

Setting

The setting in which discourse occurs also contributes to the context. Setting involves the specific locale in which communication occurs, the temporal influences that impact communication, and the impact of bystanders on the communication process.

The locale in which communication occurs refers to the physical setting. Certain locales may include certain taboos or restrictions (Cokely, 1992). So, for example, the structure of discourse that occurs as part of a religious ceremony in a church or temple will differ greatly from the structure of discourse that occurs as part of a social interaction between friends in a bar. Or, the manner that an individual expresses anger in their place of employment will differ from the manner that same individual expresses anger in their home. What is considered appropriate and permissible in one locale will differ from another.

The temporal aspect of context refers to the chronological period involved. The time of day, time of the week, and time of the year impact on how messages are expressed. For example, it may influence certain greeting and farewell formulae. If a meeting occurs as the first order of business in a work locale, people will greet one another with "Good Morning" messages. If, on the other hand, the meeting happens at the end of the day, the participants will leave the meeting with a farewell of, "See you tomorrow!" The meaning of the phrase, "Due to the holiday....", will be determined by the time of the year in which the phrase is stated. Is it Christmas? Is it Hanukah? Is it the 4th of July? Is it Easter or Passover? So, messages are impacted by the temporal aspects surrounding the message.

The involvement of bystanders will also impact on how a message is communicated. This factor refers to the presence or absence of others in the environment who are not involved in the interaction (Cokely, 1992). Think about how parents change their communication when they are discussing something that is not intended for children who are present. Think about those instances when you have been sharing thoughts with a friend and someone else walks up in the midst of your conversation. Think about how a presentation you are making before a group of professional colleagues would differ if your supervisor walked into the room. So, the presence or absence of others in the environment during communication exchanges has significant impact on how communication will occur.

Purpose

The final element of context is the purpose of the interaction. Purpose refers to the motivating factor that stimulates the communication interaction (Cokely, 1992). It is what participants are trying to do when they engage in an interaction, and what the interaction is about. Cokely discusses purpose as being comprised of activity type and subject matter. The activity type refers to the identifiable purpose that exists regardless of content matter—a sales transaction is a sales transaction whether the

subject is buying a car or a pair of shoes, or a job interview is a job interview regardless of whether it is for the position of a CEO or waitress. Accordingly, some standard procedures and practices surround certain activity types. Understanding and appreciating these standard procedures and practices allows interpreters to generalize certain parts of their world knowledge and helps them to more effectively anticipate what is likely to transpire during a particular activity.

The subject matter refers to the specific content at a more detailed level—such as the service plan available for the car being purchased, the financing options for purchasing the car, the specific colors the shoes are available in, or the accessories for caring for the particular type of leather of which the shoes are made. Purpose constitutes an important element of the context and is defined by activity type and subject matter.

In summary, context is an important part of discourse analysis. Considering the participants, the setting, and the purpose will provide significant insight into the meaning of messages. As well, considering all the factors that impact on an individual's expression, reception and perception of meaning will enable the interpreter to more consistently and effectively realize semantic intent and achieve semantic equivalency (Isham, 1985, Colonomos, 1992). When engaging in the interpreting process, context must be considered.

Cognitive Processes in Interpretation

Cokely (1992) delineates serialized stages of cognitive processing that are inherent to effective interpretation. He notes that interpreting is, “probably more accurately described as serialized parallel processes, because they are undoubtedly several processes functioning simultaneously in an ordered, dependent relationship to each other.” Therefore, if the interpreter experiences difficulties in the early stages of the process, this will have implication for the later stages in the process. Further, Cokely (1992) states that bilingual and bicultural competence is a pre-requisite to being able to apply the model in a consistent and accurate manner. This principle is also stated in the work of Taylor (1993), whose diagnostic research of English to ASL interpreting performance indicates that the majority of errors that were observed related to a lack of ASL competence rather than interpretation competence.

Ideally, students of interpretation come to the task already possessing bilingual and bicultural competence. Then, they engage in practice of each stage in the cognitive process in order to develop the requisite mental skills for interpreting. Mastery of each stage in isolation through the process of translation and consecutive interpretation further establishes the cognitive processing skills required to convey accurate interpretations (Colonomos, 1992). Eventually, through the application of dual-tasking skills, simultaneous interpretation can be achieved. The model of discourse analysis that will be described later in this article is designed to engage students of interpretation in addressing each stage in Cokely's model of cognitive processing, while simultaneously investigating the meaning of messages by exploring the component parts of the message.

Cokely posits seven major stages of cognitive processing (1992). The stages are:

- **Message Reception**
- **Preliminary Processing**
- **Short Term Message Retention**
- **Semantic Intent Realized**
- **Semantic Equivalence Determination**
- **Syntactic Message Formulation**
- **Message Production**

The following section summarizes the seven major stages as described by Cokely (1992).

Message Reception relates to the interpreter's ability to accurately perceive the source language (SL) message. If an interpreter is unable to achieve accurate perception of the message, the remainder of the process will be ineffective. Message reception occurs through visual perception/reception or auditory perception/reception. For this reason, the interpreter must be able to see and/or hear the speaker who is generating the source language message. Anything that prohibits the ability to perceive/receive the message (poor eye sight, distance, noise, loss of hearing) will impact on the accuracy of message reception.

Preliminary Processing is the stage of primary recognition through which messages are filtered. During this stage, the phonological rules of the SL are applied and if the incoming message does not fit the expected norms, it will be discarded. This is the stage of the process where attention is given to how the message is being formed and delivered so it can be recognized and understood.

Short Term Message Retention allows the interpreter to hold onto a string of lexical items that form the incoming message until sufficient information has been received to accurately understand the meaning and/or function of the message. The incoming message is analyzed and 'chunked' into small units that, ideally, retain the essentials of meaning. These 'chunks' are then 'pegged' in the memory for further analysis and either lead to an understanding of meaning or are absorbed by larger 'chunks'. The size of the 'chunk' depends on whether the message is being processed at a lexical, phrasal, sentential or discourse level (Craig and Lockhart, 1972). There are many factors that will contribute to the level of analysis that occurs at this stage. Some of the factors are prior knowledge, preparation, memory skills, and prediction skills. Accurate understanding of any given 'chunk' is related to the level at which it was analyzed and processed.

Semantic Intent Realization is that stage where the interpreter achieves some degree of comprehension of the portion(s) of the SL message that has been 'chunked'. The goal is that the semantic intent understood by the interpreter is that originally intended by the speaker. Whether this is what happens depends on the degree of analysis the interpreter achieved. This is why discourse analysis is so important. It is a process that fosters an interpreter's ability to explore,

appreciate, and determine meaning based on a variety of factors. So, for example, the more contextual knowledge the interpreter has (about the participants, setting, and purpose), the more content knowledge the interpreter has (about the topic, the main ideas, the specialized vocabulary), and the more knowledge of the form of the message the interpreter has (overall organization and coherence), the more effective the interpreter will be in understanding what the speaker intended by the message that was conveyed.

Semantic Equivalence Determination is the stage in the process where the interpreter begins to determine how the message will transfer from the SL into the target language (TL). The interpreter must consider the linguistic and cultural elements of the TL necessary to express the meaning of the SL message. During this stage, the interpreter is concerned with meaning—not form. This stage requires that the interpreter possess adequate TL competence to create an equivalent message. At this stage of the process, knowledge of the SL will not compensate for a lack of competence in the TL.

Syntactic Message Formulation occurs when the interpreter begins to formulate and rehearse the TL message. The equivalency of meaning achieved in the previous stage is now used to construct the form of the TL message. The focus is on forming a message that will convey the meaning according to linguistic and cultural norms of the TL. Again, the ability to achieve accurate message formulation is contingent upon the interpreter's bilingual and bicultural competence in the TL.

Message Production is the final stage in the process and is the stage when the message is actually executed/expressed. Cokely (1992) notes that the change in modality from a spoken to signed language or from a signed to spoken language is a process that is unique to Sign Language interpreters. Spoken Language interpreters do not change modality when transferring from the SL to the TL. The change in modality further challenges the cognitive processing of interpreters. As stated earlier, during the actual act of interpreting, several of these stages occur simultaneously in an ordered, dependent manner. However, since the goal of discourse analysis within interpreter training is to strengthen an interpreter's ability to understand and convey meaning (Isham, 1985, Witter-Merithew, 1987, Colonomos, 1992, Winston & Monikowski, 2000), the following description of the EICP system, demonstrates how the stages within a system of discourse analysis can be used to isolate and practice foundation skills necessary for the process of interpreting. Once the individual stages become familiar, mastery can be achieved during their application to the actual simultaneous interpretation task.

A Ten-Step Discourse Analysis Process

The ten-steps in the process are:

- **Step 1: Prediction**
- **Step 2: View and Recall**
- **Step 3: Content Mapping**
- **Step 4: Salient Linguistic Features**
- **Step 5: Abstraction**
- **Step 6: Retelling in Source Language**
- **Step 7: Salient Linguistic Features in the Target Language**
- **Step 8: Visualization Mapping**
- **Step 9: Retell in Target Language**
- **Step 10: Interpretation**

A discussion of each step and how it relates to the stages of cognitive processing provide insight into the purpose and focus of the steps individually and collectively.

Step 1: Prediction Brainstorming

This step is intended to draw on the knowledge the interpreter already possesses and to foster the prediction of information that is likely to be associated with a given topic. A specific topic and context is provided and the interpreter works to identify what ideas, themes, relationships, and events they anticipate being discussed in the context provided (Winston and Monikowski, 2000). The information is recorded—using only key words or phrases in a random fashion on a piece of paper. The purpose of recording the information is to provide a visual way to perceive the message. The act of recording frames the ‘internal’ thoughts as an ‘incoming’ message, because it places the thought ‘on’ the piece of paper. This act also begins the process of ‘chunking’ possible ideas. The purpose of the random recording of information is to foster a ‘free-flow’ of ideas, rather than prematurely trying to impose a structure or form on the topic being brainstormed.

This step of analysis is preparation for preliminary processing. It creates attention to the topic and a readiness for what information will actually be communicated.

Step 2: Recall Brainstorming

The first part of this step involves viewing or listening to the actual text. This should be done without the aid of any note taking or recording of information. The goal is to receive the information for the purpose of comprehension.

After viewing the text, randomly recall what was discussed in the text (Winston & Monikowski). Recording the recall of information in a random manner allows for the natural memory to emerge. The recording should be done in a visual-spatial manner versus a linear manner so that a natural clustering of ideas will occur.

It is useful to review the recall brainstorming in terms of the initial recording of the random brainstorming from Step 1. This provides insight into the quality of prediction skills possessed and how effectively the prediction related to the context the instructor provided. If several of the topics, themes, events, or relationships were predicted, it fosters greater confidence in the ability to rely on memory and prior knowledge while interpreting. If the prediction step was not effective, this step can be expanded to include researching topics that are unfamiliar prior to viewing of the text.

This step can be related to preliminary processing as described by Cokely and the development of working memory skills. The visual-spatial recording of recall fosters the clustering and ‘chunking’ necessary to achieving semantic intent realization (Colonomos, 1992).

Step 3: Content Mapping

Content mapping is the creation of a visual representation (Colonomos, 1992) of the information using a strategy referred to as mind mapping. Mind mapping (also referred to as webbing, charting, or mapping) is a creative process that fosters recall. Additionally, mind mapping process results in information being charted according to a hierarchy of relevance to the topic. So, for example, information is organized visually on the basis of whether it is a theme, main idea, supporting idea, or supporting detail. Once the organization of information is complete, the map demonstrates what information is primary versus secondary. As well, the relationships that exist between ideas are also apparent. Winston & Monikowski (2000) provide a fuller discussion of mapping for a broad base of purposes within a discourse analysis system that goes beyond the discussion provided here.

There are several ways to approach content mapping. Louise Ford (1988) wrote an article entitled, “Mind Mapping: A Technique for Expanding Short-Term Memory in Interpreting” that provides illustration of the process using a webbing-style. Her examples demonstrate the use of a ‘home base’ or central icon from which lines radiate. The radiating lines are used to identify themes or main ideas, and additional icons or other lines flow from the radiating lines to chart/web the supporting ideas, and then supporting details.

A similar hierarchy can be achieved by charting information on a ‘tree’ icon. The trunk can represent the topic, the limbs the themes or main ideas, the branches supporting ideas, and the twigs or leaves the supporting details. The goal is to create an efficient visual representation of the text in a way that reflects the relationship of ideas to the topic. Using pictures/icons/symbols and color fosters a greater degree of recall. As well, charting information by using only key words or short phrases is the most useful when trying to create ‘chunking’ of information.

So, in summary, content mapping:

- Organizes content in a hierarchy; themes, main ideas, supporting ideas, supporting details
- Creates synergy because it taps into the imaginative and intuitive side of the brain
- Can be done in one of several formats; the tree, wheel, or web are the most common
- Breaks the form of the message and fosters attention to the meaning and relationship of ideas
- Demonstrates the relationships between pieces of information (coherence) in a visual/spatial manner
- Reinforces short-term memory, creates visual pegs that support recall, and fosters analysis of the message to isolate/distinguish primary versus secondary information

This step fosters a deeper level of processing for meaning and will aid in recall because it helps to identify the textual coherence within the text (Winston & Monikowski, 2000). Once meaning and textual coherence are understood, semantic intent realization has been achieved. Thus, this step can be used to reinforce the working memory needed to achieve semantic intent realization.

It is important to note that the mapping is done from RECALL only. The process does not include going back to the original text and viewing it again and again to make sure the map is created accurately. The intent is that the process simulates what transpires during the stages of the interpreting process. Therefore, engaging in the content mapping from recall ONLY is essential to fostering the development of more effective preliminary processing, working memory, and semantic intent realization skills.

Step 4: Salient Linguistic Features in Source Language

Before leaving the stage of semantic intent realization, it is important to also consider the prosody of the message. While content mapping focuses on WHAT was said and how the information was organized, prosody focuses on HOW it was said. So, prosody refers to the features that are used to convey the mood and affect that emerges within a text. These features also contribute to the overall coherence of the text (Winston & Monikowski, 2000).

So, in this step, there is reflection on the text for the purpose of identifying the specific linguistic features that were used to convey prosody—the features that were essential or central in conveying the mood, style, and manner of the message. As well, it focuses on how message coherence was achieved. Likely, this will draw attention to behaviors such as affect, pacing, pausing, stress, emphasis, inflection, intonation, and message coherence. What language features

were used to accomplish the prosody? Were certain pieces of information repeated? Did this create emphasis? Were certain portions of the message emphasized through vocal or visual inflection or some other feature/behavior? Was attention drawn to a portion of the message through pacing or pausing? Did pacing and pausing contribute to transition from one idea to the next in the message? Questions like these are useful in helping to isolate/ identify the salient linguistic features. Identifying these features is important, because these features contribute further to the understanding of the intent of the message.

Listing these features is an important strategy for reflection and later, for the stage of message formulation. The listing can be referred to, at the same time the content map is referred to, during the discourse analysis stage of ‘re-telling’. The content map, in concert with the list of salient linguistic features, provides the mnemonic device necessary for accurately re-constructing the SL message.

In summary, identifying salient linguistic features provides additional insight into the semantic intent realization and assists in recognition of the prosody within a message. It is one of the more challenging steps in the discourse analysis process, because message prosody and coherence are not frequently addressed within the interpreting process.

Step 5: Abstraction

Abstraction is another challenging step in the discourse analysis process. In this step, the essence or overarching point/principle/ generalization embedded in the text is expressed in a one-line statement. The statement is expressed in the form of an underlying moral, principle, or point expressed implicitly in the text. Determining an abstraction fosters inference skills and inference skills enhance an interpreter’s ability to determine deeper levels of meaning.

Creating an abstraction should not be confused with deciding a title for the text or restating the main idea or thesis in the text. The abstraction requires going to a deeper level of processing to identify an implied message that is within the text. The best abstractions are the ones that isolate the essence of the text in a statement that is generalizable. Consider some examples.

Creating an abstraction of the text involves breaking the form of the text and looking for a deeper level of meaning. So, if the text is addressing the difficulties of buying fresh fish, and the way you can tell that a fish is not fresh, the abstract might be, “Buyer Beware!”. This is a statement that is generalizable to other situations as well. The statement, “How to Buy Fresh Fish” would make a nice title, but would not be an effective abstraction. Instead, it is a concrete representation of the information within the text.

Here is another example. If the text is describing the use of a vacuum cleaner hose covered by a nylon stocking for finding a lost contact lens, the abstract might be, “Necessity is the mother of invention.” Again, the essence of the text—the

underlying inference or overarching point of the message—is conveyed in a one-line statement that is generalizable to other situations. Again, statements such as, “Panty hose save the day!”, or, “What to do if you lose your contact.” are effective as titles, or as headlines of a newspaper, but they are not abstractions because they retain the form of the source language message and follow the topic too closely.

Identifying an abstraction for a message allows for creativity and imagination. It is an excellent way to develop and enhance inference skills. Inference skills enable an interpreter to find implied meaning—meaning that is not stated in a direct or explicit manner, but is insinuated by exploring the meaning of the text at a deeper level. This step in the process relates to confirming the semantic intent realization of the SL message and provides the kernel structure that can carry into the process of transferring meaning from the SL to the TL.

In summary, creating the abstract:

- Provides a representation of the text in a one-line statement
- Conveys the meaning of the text by identifying the underlying moral, principle, or point of the text
- Fosters a focus on the text that totally breaks the form and on how the underlying meaning of the message can be generalized
- Relates to semantic intent realization and contributes to semantic equivalence determination.

Step 6: Retell the Source Text in Source Language

In this step, the SL text is re-stated in the interpreter’s own words (Colonomos, 2000). This provides a re-integration of all the component parts of the text that have been analyzed in Steps 3-5. The content map and the list of salient linguistic features can be used to support the re-telling of the SL text. The goal of the re-telling is to approximate the original SL text as much as possible for the purpose of confirming an understanding of the meaning. This is the final step in the stage of realizing semantic intent and in preparing for the transfer of meaning from one language into the next language.

This step utilizes memory/recall and paraphrasing skills to review comprehension of the SL message. Paraphrasing skills are an essential part of the interpretation process. Engaging in ‘same language’ paraphrasing based on recall strengthens the foundation skills necessary to interpret.

When this step is conducted in a group-learning context, an additional step of peer review and feedback can be incorporated. Peer review and feedback provides criteria to gauge how closely the re-telling equates with the original and helps identify gaps in the content map and the overall comprehension of the original text. Additionally, it is an opportunity to review, discuss, and strengthen source

language competence. This, in turn, strengthens the foundation of bilingual/bicultural competence that is pre-requisite to interpreting. As is true with Steps 3, 4, and 5, this step relates to semantic intent realization and the message transfer process required to begin an exploration of the stage of semantic equivalence determination.

Step 7: Salient Linguistic Features in Target Language

In Step 4, the salient linguistic features of the SL message were identified. This process is now repeated, focusing on those TL linguistic features that would be used to convey the mood and intent conveyed in the SL message. This step requires application of bilingual and bicultural competence in the TL.

In this step, there is consideration of the specific linguistic features of the TL that are used to convey prosody—the features that are essential or central in conveying the mood, style, and manner of TL messages. This consideration is then narrowed to the specific SL text to isolate the TL linguistic features that will create equivalency in meaning. Focus on how message coherence can be achieved in the TL. Focus on the TL behaviors used to create affect, pacing, pausing, stress, emphasis, inflection, intonation, and message coherence. Identifying these features is important to creating a message that is equivalent at the level of prosody.

Listing these features is an important strategy for reflection and later, for the stage of message formulation in the TL. The listing can be referred to at the same time the visualization map (Step 8) is referred to during the discourse analysis step of TL ‘re-telling’. The visualization map, in concert with the list of TL salient linguistic features provides the mnemonic device necessary for accurately re-constructing the TL message.

When identifying the salient linguistic features for the target language:

- Review the salient linguistic features listed for the source language text
- Consider how the same functions of manner, style, coherence, and organization would be conveyed in the target language.
- Determine what additional features of the target language might also be utilized to convey an equivalent message

This step relates to the cognitive stage of determining semantic equivalence and prepares for the stage of message formulation.

Step 8: Visualization Mapping

A visualization map differs from a content map, in that a visualization map is a WORDLESS map that represents the key concepts of the text in the order in which they will be conveyed in the TL. The visualization map captures the essence of the original SL message, but allows for the restructuring of the sequence of events and information that may be necessary when conveying the message into the TL. This map functions as a linear outline without words. The use of a wordless map keeps the focus and attention on capturing the meaning of the text, without prematurely assigning TL words. The visualization map can be likened to a wordless cartoon strip—with no expectation that it be artistically animated.

Here are the steps associated with creating the visualization map.

- Review map of the source language text and the listing of salient linguistic features identified for the target language
- From these resources, create a visual, sequential map of the text. This map attempts to follow the order of events, as they would be expressed in the TL.
- Create the sequence in a visual manner using as many symbols and images as possible- avoiding the use of words or phrases.

This step relates to determining the semantic equivalence of the message and approaching the stage of message formulation.

Step 9: Retell in Target Language

The sequential visualization map, along with the list of TL salient linguistic features, is used to re-tell the text in the TL. This re-telling integrates Steps 6-8 and solidifies the transference of meaning from the source language into the TL. This step relates to the cognitive processing stage of message formulation. This step utilizes memory, visualization, comprehension, and source language competency to create a paraphrasing of the original text in the target language. If this step is conducted in the context of group learning, peer review and feedback provides criteria to gauge how effectively the re-telling uses the target language and how closely the re-telling equates with the original. This helps identify gaps in the content map and the overall comprehension of the original text. As well, receiving feedback about accuracy and equivalency of meaning transference provides the opportunity to correct the visualization map or list of salient linguistic features prior to engaging in simultaneous interpretation of the task.

Because of the constraints of time, the full semantic load carried by certain lexical items cannot always be conveyed in a simultaneous interpretation; a fuller realization of the semantic load may be conveyed by a translation or a consecutive interpretation (Cokely, 1992.) As a result, as the final step in the analysis process is achieved, it is important to note that the full equivalence conveyed in the re-telling may not be able to be conveyed within the constraints of the time available for simultaneous interpreting in Step 10.

Step 10: Interpretation

The final step in the EICP discourse analysis system is to interpret the message in a simultaneous format into the target language. This is the step in the process that ultimately brings together all the other steps in an integrated fashion. Doing this involves dual tasking—the ability to cognitively manage multiple tasks simultaneously. Dual tasking is a pre-requisite skill to simultaneous interpreting. Again, when this activity is done in a group-learning context, peers can discuss the interpretation, considering the effective application of salient linguistic features and the inclusion of key ideas from the visualization-map. Ideally, the peer feedback can be used to do an immediate re-interpretation of the text to integrate the feedback.

Summary

The more often this discourse analysis system is repeated, allowing for each step to be rehearsed and practiced in isolation, with periodic integration of the steps during re-telling, the more effectively the students become prepared mentally to anticipate, comprehend, restructure, transfer, and formulate messages that are accurate and equivalent. Eventually, the student trains himself or herself to think and process information in a manner that integrates the steps automatically and simultaneously. When this happens, the students start to listen to information differently, begin to think about meaning at deeper levels, and to consider the component parts and context of the message before generating an interpretation. When an interpreter is able to do this consistently, the reliability and accuracy of their work will be significantly enhanced.

The EICP discourse analysis system described in this article provides a systematic way of examining the component parts of a text for the purpose of determining the meaning of messages. It is also a system that can be used to isolate, practice and apply the stages associated with the cognitive process of interpreting. When interpreters use this system regularly, it will enhance the cognitive foundation skills necessary to interpret effectively, as well as improve the reliability and accuracy of interpreted messages.

References

- Cokely, D. (1991). *Diagnostician Preparation Manual*. Seminar Workbook. Advancement Seminars, Charlotte, N.C.: Advancement Seminars.
- Cokely, D. (1992). Interpretation: Towards A Sociolinguistic Model. Burtonsville, MD.: Linstok Press.
- Colonomos, B. (1992). *Processes in Interpreting and Transliterating: Making Them Work for You*. Satellite Teleclass provided by RSA Region VIII Interpreter Training Project. Denver, CO: Distance Opportunities for Interpreter Training Center, Front Range Community College
- Ford, L. (1988) *Mind Mapping: A technique for expanding short-term memory in interpreting*, In Dinning, D.F. (ed.), Papers of the 1988 Conference of the Association of Visual Language Interpreters of Canada: AVLIC Publications
- Hatch, E. (1992). Discourse and Language Education. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Isham, W. P. (1985) *The Role of Message Analysis in Interpretation*. In McIntire, M. (Ed.). The Art of Cross Cultural Mediation: Proceedings of the 1985 RID Convention. Silver Spring, MD: RID Publications
- Pollitt, K. (2000). *Critical Linguistic and Cultural Awareness: Essential Tools in the Interpreter's Kit Bag*. In Roy, C., (Ed.), Innovative Practices for Teaching Sign Language Interpreters, Washington, D.C.: Gallaudet University Press.
- Smith, T. (1999). *An Anthropologist Looks at Register*, In Alveraz J. (Ed.), Keys to Highly Effective Interpreter Training: Proceedings of the 1998 CIT Convention. Charlotte, N.C.: CIT Publications.
- Taylor, M. (1993). Interpretation Skills: English to ASL. Edmonton, Alberta, Canada: Interpreting Consolidated.
- Winston, E. and Monikowski, C. (2000). *Discourse Mapping: Developing Textual Coherence Skills in Interpreters*, In Roy, C. (Ed.), Innovative Practices for Teaching Sign Language Interpreters. Washington, D.C.: Gallaudet University Press.
- Witter-Merithew, A. (1986) *Text Analysis: Process and Resources* in McIntire, M. (Ed.) Proceedings of the 6th CIT Convention. Silver Spring, MD: RID Publications
- Witter-Merithew, A. (1997). Handout and discussion regarding a 14-step process of structured text analysis as part of teaching interpretation skills. 1997 CIT Share Shop. Long Beach, California. Handout from the curriculum of Central Piedmont Community College: Charlotte, N.C.