

# NCA ACCREDITATION SELF-STUDY CRITERION 4

## Meeting Minutes November 5, 2002

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Sharon Clinebell, Beth Franklin, Jennifer Griffin, Sue Kent, Michelle Quinn, Jennifer Rasmuson, Helen Reed, Sue Kent, Gardiner Tucker

### COMMITTEE MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE:

Becky Barnes and Bob Hetzel

### POTENTIAL CRITERION FOUR REPORT CONTENT:

Attached is a list of our brainstormed ideas for “patterns of evidence” to support UNC’s fulfillment of Criterion Four. To focus our next meeting, each of us should prioritize each item’s potential for supporting Criterion Four as follows:

- 1) Strong candidate for evidence
- 2) Probably will be good evidence
- 3) May or may not be useful

We had talked about trying to categorize each item under the appropriate subset of the Criterion (A, B, C or D), but after further thought, I realized that identifying the significance of the item is more useful at this point.

I suggest that you spend a little time reflecting on the patterns of evidence suggested under Criterion Four prior to prioritization of the list. Please complete the attached spreadsheet and return to Jennifer by November 15<sup>th</sup> so that the results can be compiled prior to our next meeting.

### REVIEW PROCESS:

At our meeting we also briefly discussed sources and methods for our review. These included:

- Interview the members of Deans Council individually
- Review College newsletters
- Search websites
- Review the catalog and University Plan
- Use a campus map or organization chart to ensure that we cover all units that contribute to the acquisition, creation and application of knowledge.