



FACULTY SENATE FORUM

#406

Below are the minutes of the May 3, 2010 Faculty Senate meeting. The next meeting will be held on Monday, May 17, 2010 at 4:00 p.m. in the UC Council Room with the following agenda.

AGENDA FOR THE MAY 17, 2010 SENATE MEETING

1. Call to Order
2. Approval of the Agenda
3. Approval of the May 3, 2010 minutes
4. Chair's Report
5. Administrative Reports
6. Reports of Standing Committees
7. Special Reports
 - Board of Athletic Control-Year End Report
 - Faculty Research & Publications Board Update
8. Special Orders
 - University Boards, Councils, Committees Appointments
9. Unfinished Business
 - Sexual Harassment Complaint Procedures
10. New Business
11. Comments to the Good of the Order
12. Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE MAY 3, 2010 SENATE MEETING

Members present: Allen, Battles, Connolly, Cullom, DeKrey, Dietz, Doerner, Harraf, Hesse, Iyer, Luttmann, McCorkle, Mills, Mondragon, Parker, Peercy, Sedbrook, Schuttler, Temkin, Toewe.

Absent member(s) with alternate(s): Landeira (Mills), Norton (Reynolds), Salter (Schuttler).

Absent member(s): Bradford, Kim, Kimball, O'Halloran (Guido), Tort, Trelogan.

Guest(s): Caldwell, Parks

Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 4:03 p.m.

Approval of the Agenda/Approval of the April 19, 2010 minutes

The agenda was amended as follows: Chair Luttmann added four appointments under Special Orders, Temkin requested Faculty Welfare New Business be placed before Unfinished Business in order to accommodate the review of an additional Faculty Classification document. The April 19, 2010 minutes were amended under New Business: Faculty Welfare Committee Motion: Faculty Classification: Line 8-change "Committee" to "Senate", and line 22-add "Michelle Quinn" to those thanked by Temkin.

Chair's Report

Luttmann reminded everyone about the Senate reception to immediately follow the Senate meeting in rooms Aspen A and B. Luttmann stated the Senate retreat will be August 20, 2010. The venue will be discussed at the Senate Executive Committee meeting May 10, 2010.

Administrative Reports

President's Office (Reynolds for Norton)

Reynolds reported that the President has finished half of the scheduled planning update meetings. She reminded Senate members that they can still forward input to the President before she meets with the Board of Trustees in June.

Academic Affairs/Provost's Report (Harraf): No report.

Board of Trustees (Luttmann for Iyer)

Luttmann attended the Financial Committee meeting for Iyer, and provided a summary of the information from the meeting, stating that most of the information has been presented previously to the faculty.

Luttmann stated a copy of the information would be available upon request.

Standing Committee Reports

Academic Policies Committee (Mills)

The Academic Policies Committee will have a motion in New Business today, concerning a language change in the Student Code of Conduct.

Codification Committee (Schuttler)

The Codification Committee will finish the annual Board Policy Manual Review at their meeting on Wednesday, May 5, 2010.

Elections Committee (Allen)

Allen noted that there is still one run-off election to be completed in HSS for the UGC.

Faculty Welfare Committee (Temkin)

The Faculty Welfare Committee will have a document to be reviewed under New Business, which will be added to the Faculty Classification documents presented as a Major Motion at the April 19, 2010 Senate meeting.

Salary Equity Committee (Doerner): No report.

Special Committee to Review Policies and Statements Pursuant to the Senate Resolution of July 13, 2009. (Luttmann).

The Sexual Harassment Complaint Procedures Policy draft will be presented to the Senate under New Business today.

Special Report(s)

Information Technology Committee-Cullom

Cullom reported that UNC's Information Technology Department is working on preparing for the budget cliff by reassessing equipment, classroom needs, and software licensing. The department will be implementing new policies for equipment purchasing, upgrades and replacement.

Special Order(s)

Boards, Councils, Committees Appointments by Senate Chair

Luttmann asked for Senate approval on the following appointments:

Rutilio Martinez	Barnes and Noble Advisory Committee
Michael Kimball	Faculty Research and Publications Board
Charmayne Cullom	Information Technology Committee
Karen Jennison	PASC Faculty Representative

MOTION: Faculty Senate approval of above noted appointments: DeKrey.

SECOND: Temkin.

VOTE: The Faculty Senate approved the appointments listed above unanimously by voice vote.

Unfinished Business

Faculty Welfare Committee: Faculty Classification

AMENDMENT TO MAJOR MOTION: Request by the Faculty Welfare Committee to add two documents (Part 7: Faculty Salaries 3-3-701 Faculty Salary Distribution and Part 8: Faculty Evaluation 3-3-801(1)(g)) to the previous Major Motion made at the April 19, 2010 meeting, to make a total of ten Faculty Classification documents from the Board Policy Manual and University Regulations.

VOTE: The Faculty Senate voted unanimously by voice vote, in favor of allowing the addition of two documents to the Faculty Classification Major Motion.

Temkin summarized the documents listed above and welcomed any questions/comments. Temkin noted that Marshall Parks was present to address any questions as well. Connolly presented an amendment to 1-1-301(2) and 2-3-202(2) with addition of language as noted below.

AMENDMENT: Addition of language to 1-301(2) and 2-3-202(2): Connolly (accepted by Temkin as a friendly amendment).

NOTE: (notation is portion affected only; addition in blue type, see attachment for full documentation)

1-1-301(2)

[See 2-3-305 Academic Titles]. Individuals in non-tenure eligible positions, except Visiting Faculty, are eligible for consideration for promotion to the next higher rank if they meet the minimum requirements for that rank [See 2-3-302 Rank Requirements].

2-3-202(2)

[See 2-3-305 Academic Titles]. Individuals in non-tenure eligible positions, except Visiting Faculty, are eligible for consideration for promotion to the next higher rank if they meet the minimum requirements for that rank [See 2-3-302 Rank Requirements].

AMENDMENT: Addition of language to 2-3-901(3) and 2-3-302: Connolly (accepted by Temkin as a friendly amendment).

2-3-901(3)

- (1) after completion of four years of successful academic performance,
- (2) after completion of four years of successful academic performance,
- (3) after completion of seven years of successful academic performance,

2-3-302

- (2) Promotion to Assistant Professor from the rank of Instructor also requires completion of four years of successful academic performance.
- (3) and completion of four years of successful college teaching academic performance-
- (4) and completions of seven years of successful academic performance

Discussion: The Senate discussed faculty classification terms, also discussing minimum requirements, qualifications for promotion, and various workload issues. Connolly reminded the Senate that the goal of the Faculty Classification document proposal is to clarify who is eligible for promotion and to distinguish titles, ranks, and classification. The Senate also discussed what level a decision should be made regarding workload in all areas of teaching, and evaluation for adjunct faculty.

MAJOR MOTION: The Faculty Welfare Committee recommends that the Senate approve the ten documents (with amendments outlined above) for consideration and administrative approval regarding Faculty Classification. Documents: (Part 3 Faculty: 1-1-301 Faculty Contracts; Part 3 Faculty: 1-1-302 Academic Ranks and Titles; Article 3: Faculty: 2-2-103(2) Membership of the Faculty; Part 2 Faculty: Definitions and Contracts: 2-3-201, 2-3-202; Part 3 Academic Ranks and Titles; Part 9 Promotion and Tenure Guidelines; Part 8 Faculty Evaluation 2-3-801; Article 3: Faculty 3-3-201 Faculty Contracts; Part 8 Faculty Evaluation 3-3-80; Part 7: Faculty Salaries 3-3-701 Faculty Salary Distribution)

VOTE: The Faculty Senate voted 18-0 with no abstentions in favor of supporting the Faculty Classification documents as presented by the Faculty Welfare Committee, with above noted amendments to the following documents: 1-1-301(2), 2-3-202(2), 2-3-901(3), and 2-3-302.

New Business

Academic Policies: Student Code of Conduct

MOTION: The Academic Policies Committee proposes a language change to the Student Code of Conduct regarding plagiarism, to be presented to the Dean of Students upon Senate approval:

From The Student Code of Conduct

Cheating is the act of using or attempting to use, in examination or other academic work, material, information, or study aids which are not permitted by the instructor. Cheating includes, but is not limited

to: Using books, notes, cell phones, PDAs, calculators or copying from or conversing with others during an examination (unless such external aids or communication are permitted by the instructor); having someone else do research, write papers, or take examinations; doing research, writing papers, or taking examinations for someone else. Prior approval of the instructor is required before submitting large portions submission of all or part of the same work as part of the academic work for more than one course. ~~(unless such submission is permitted by the instructor).~~

(http://www.unco.edu/dos/student_code_conduct/pdf/StudentCodeofConduct.pdf)

Discussion: Mills explained the rationale behind the decision for the language change, noting the English Department cited ambiguity in the phrase “large portions”, and the need for emphasis regarding instructor permission. Senate members were agreeable to the rationale and noted the possibility of more than one instructor being involved in the process, and recommended adding “(s)” to the word instructor in the sentence reading “Prior approval of the instructor(s).”

AMENDMENT TO MOTION: Accept the language change proposal with the amendment of adding “(s)” to instructor.

VOTE: The Senate voted unanimously in favor by voice vote to accept the Student Code of Conduct language change as presented by the Academic Policies Committee with the above noted amendment.

Special Committee to Review Policies and Statements Pursuant to the Senate Resolution of July 13, 2009: Sexual Harassment Complaint Procedures Draft

MOTION: Approval of the Sexual Harassment Complaint Procedures as presented by the Special Committee to Review Policies and Statements Pursuant to the Senate Resolution of the July 13, 2009.

Discussion: Luttmann explained the goals of the Committee and the rationale behind the need for a separate document concerning the Sexual Harassment Complaint Procedures, noting the use of AAUP guidelines. Luttmann welcomed any comments/input from the Senate. DeKrey noted that he would like to see the document presented to the general faculty before a Senate vote.

MOTION: Postponement of motion to approve the Sexual Harassment Procedures document until the general faculty has been allowed to review: DeKrey.

SECOND: Connolly.

VOTE: The Faculty Senate voted 18-0, with no abstentions, to postpone the motion to approve the Sexual Harassment Complaint Procedures document until the May 17, 2010 Faculty Senate meeting, in order to allow the general faculty to review the document.

Comments to the Good of the Order

Luttmann was commended for his exemplary leadership as the Senate Chair this academic year.

Adjournment

The meeting adjourned at 5:18 p.m.

Charmayne Cullom
Faculty Senate Secretary

Lori Riley
Recording Secretary

* * * * *

UPCOMING SENATE & SENATE COMMITTEE MEETINGS

Monday	May 10, 2010	Faculty Senate Executive Committee	UC Aspen A	4:00 p.m.
Monday	May 17, 2010	Faculty Senate	UC Council Room	4:00 p.m.
Friday	August 20, 2010	Faculty Senate Retreat	TBA	TBA

* * * * *