

## **Academic Plan Steering Committee Sept. 29 Meeting**

### **Timeline**

- Given the Dec. 15 deadline, the consensus was to start with a simple plan and elaborate over time.
- Agreement on metrics for some goals may come quickly, but establishing metrics for others (such as faculty productivity) will likely take much longer.

### **Campus conversations**

- Keiko Krahnke gave a brief presentation on Appreciative Inquiry. There was consensus on using a success-based approach rather than a problem-based approach for campus conversations.
- Joan Clinefelter suggested using the Spring 2006 subcommittee reports as a starting point for campus conversations and offered to compile the reports into a single document to begin faculty conversations.
- Gloria Reynolds will create a website with links to resources such as subcommittee reports, commission reports and the Charting the Future report.

### **Follow-up Questions**

- Will the President's Planning Council review the Steering Committee's work before it goes to President Norton?
- What is the relationship between the President's Planning Council and the Steering Committee?
- Must the plan be presented in the grid format that is referenced in the original charge to the Steering Committee?